

61
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
014208.1345

04-27-04
AF/3627
SERIAL NO.
09/696,806

1



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Lisa Palmer, et al
U.S. Patent Serial No.: 09/696,806
Filing Date: October 25, 2000
Examiner: James S. McClellan
Group No.: 3627
Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR ORGANIZING
VENDOR INFORMATION

Mail Stop: _____
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

"EXPRESS MAIL"

Express Mailing Label Number
EV 324629536 US
Date of Deposit April 26, 2004
I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited
with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post
Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the
date indicated above and is addressed to Commissioner
for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-
1450.

Willie Jiles
Willie Jiles

RESPONSE TO INTERVIEW SUMMARY

In Response to the Interview Summary mailed April 9, 2004, Applicants respectfully submit Applicants' Summary of Interview pursuant to M.P.E.P. § 713.04.


In a telephone interview with Examiner James S. McClellan on April 8, 2004, Applicants proposed submitting an after final amendment adding the limitation "an organizational business relationship" to Claims 1 and 12 to further clarify what the Applicants consider to be the invention. The Examiner stated that such an amendment would require a new search and, therefore, would not be entered. Consequently, Applicants stated that they would file a Request for Continued Examination containing these claim amendments. On April 8, 2004, Applicants filed an RCE and an accompanying response which contained these claim amendments.

Applicants thank the Examiner for the courtesy and opportunity to conduct the telephone interview. Applicants respectfully note that in the Examiner's Interview Summary, mailed April 8, 2004, the Examiner misunderstood the language of the amendment proposed by the Applicants. The Examiner states that the Applicants proposed the amendment of "an organizational vendor relationship." However, the amendment proposed by Applicants during the telephone interview with the Examiner and in the response accompanying the RCE amends Claims 1 and 12 to recite "an organizational business relationship." Furthermore, Applicants note that these amendments were made to further clarify the present invention and to distinguish it from the disclosure in the Anderson reference rather than to specifically overcome the disclosure of vendor information including the industries in which a particular vendor participates disclosed in *Anderson*.

Although Applicants believe no fees are due, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 05-0765 of Electronic Data Systems Corporation. If there are matters that can be discussed by telephone to advance prosecution of this application, Applicants invite the Examiner to contact its attorney at the number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
Attorneys for Applicants


David G. Wille
Reg. No. 38,363

Date: April 26, 2004

CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS:
2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 600
Dallas, Texas 75201-2980
(214) 953-6595
(214) 953-4595 - Fax

35005

Patent Trademark Office